



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/843,789

Applicant: J.J Garcia-Luna-Aceves

Filed: April 26, 2001

Examiner: Aaron Strange

Art Unit: 2153

Docket No.: 5543P002

Customer No.: 08791

Mail Stop: Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Confirmation No.: 3362

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

on July 25, 2005

Date of Denosi

Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

07/25/200:

Date

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of April 11, 2005, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to enter the following amendments and reconsider the following amendments.

Interview summary begins on Page 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on Page 3 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 7.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On July 18, 2005, during a teleconference between Examiner Aaron Strange, Attorney of Record Tarek N. Fahmi (Registration No. 41,402), Agent of Record Chze Koon, Chua (Registration No. 53,831), Inventor J. J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves and Lillian Withrow, Claim 1 of the present invention was discussed.

In particular, the participants discussed the rejection of claim 1 in light of Jordon (U.S. Patent No. 6,438,652) and Brendel (U.S. Patent No. 5,774,660). It was noted that the prior art fails to teach or even suggest the present invention whereby the selection of the information object repository to service the client's request is based on the load and topological distance between the information object repository and the client.